1000	0001 1997 WORD PROCESSING CENTER	
	Date in: $\frac{3}{3}$ Time in: $\frac{1}{3}$	
	Author: EB Rush (Y/N)	
	Return to: Lynn By:	
	DOCUMENT:	
	(Give complete instructions)	
	Edited by: Time Out:	
	(Remarks)	
	WPF2-SI-88	

•

### SCHOLARSHIP RECONSIDERED: PRIORITIES OF THE PROFESSORIATE

Remarks by Ernest L. Boyer President

The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching

IBM Higher Education Executive Conference
Palm Springs, California

Wednesday, March 11, 1992

IBM

# SCHOLARSHIP RECONSIDERED: PRIORITIES OF THE PROFESSORIATE

Remarks by Ernest L. Boyer President

The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching Thank you. This merning I've been asked to talk about

priorities of the professoriate in American higher education.

## And I'd like to begin

 by reflecting very briefly on how the role of the faculty has changed throughout the years.

#### HISTORY

When little Harvard College was founded in 1636

- the focus was on the student.
- Teaching was a central even "sacred" function.

And the highest accolade a professor could receive

- was the "famous one" Chaucer extended to the clerk at Oxford, when he said—
- "Gladly would he learn—and gladly teach."

And for a century and a half

 "Educating the whole person" was what scholarship in America was all about. According to its charter Harvard's goal was to
"advance learning and perpetuate it to posterity."

#### And as late as 1869 Charles Eliot

- upon assuming the presidency of Harvard declared that
- the primary business of the American professor
  - must be regular and assiduous class teaching.

And In set what when we was une was the what what is broken in Annie une all that.

### 5

### BUILDING

### But then following the War of Independence

- the focus of higher learning slowly shifted,
  - from the shaping of young lives,
  - to the building of a nation.

### In 1824, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

- was founded in Troy, New York.
- And according to historian Fred Rudolph
- RPI was a constant reminder that America needed

railroad builders.

bridge builders,

builders of all kinds.

### Then came the famous Land Grant Act of 1862

 which linked higher learning to the nation's agricultural revolution.

#### In 1867 Ezra Cornell

· in Ithaca, New York said

### I would build a college

- in which every person
- can study any subject.

8

Soc) of tarks.

And when Lincoln Steffins visited Madison in 1909 he said that

 in Wisconsin the university is as close to the "intelligent farmer"

as his pigpen

or his tool house.

### TWU-R.DOC, (ELB,SPC/dmo), October 23, 1991

## The focus of higher education now was on

reality and practicality

## is the way

- David Starr Jordan
  - President of Stanford put it.



8



In 1903 David Starr Jordan, president of Stanford, declared that

- the entire university movement in this century is
  toward
  - reality and
  - practicality.

And by 1908, Harvard's President Lowell could claim

- at bottom, most of Americas institutions of higher education are filled with the modern democratic spirit of
  - · "serviceableness."

TWU-R.DOC, (ELB,SPC/dmo), October 23, 1991

### And so

By the late 19th Century

The scholarship of teaching

## had been joined

by the scholarship of building.

### RESEARCH

Meanwhile, a third dimension of scholarly activity

was beginning to take hold.

America's first doctor of philosophy degree was conferred at Yale

- in 1861,
- 225 years after the establishment of Harvard.

And about the same time American academics who studied at European universities were profoundly influenced by

the emerging scholarship of science.

#### Men like Daniel Coit Gilman

- who founded Johns Hopkins in 1876-
- were convinced that a new kind of university was required in America,
- one that focused "almost exclusively" on graduate education and research.

In response to this emerging influence universities like

- Harvard and Berkeley, and most especially Johns
   Hopkins—to name a few—
- began to "emulate" the European model.

And when the blueprint for Johns Hopkins was first drawn in the 1880's

no undergraduates were included.

Culmon of bluds to mondie no elected & re preveny? Je Vons og California w on og 7 41 20 10 mhy He left with 3 years.

record of her critises of mechanical at Ad give mue unphicul Ar Ligge, Listestier + Hoster. Culmon mont on le lauch

James Deptons

James Deptons

James Deptons

July

Ju

And it should be Remothed

get whith

Ad when the par Blue print

9 Julian Happins me First

Outstall

undergradules have

excluded altyling

## The argument was that

- a "real" university should focus exclusively
  - on graduate education.

### In the end

- undergraduates were reluctantly included at Johns Hopkins
  - to help pay the bills.

Lyn Satomine Juli Renaut Indson
Later Jaton Vista Galmon Vise:

11 The FIRST to organize
and create "in America
or unity in which
11 The Discours a Dissonting
17 New Trutte here
Conceded a Rank
Superior & Mones
Toneuro & Mones

pe time had hen clearly than The saturp of docum we a Kan i where " more hearly."

> still for most cauly per private y the proposate Continue to be toused on the clearon.

Consol frozende February

Robert Condon Sporoul to

Robert Condon Sporoul to

Robert Condon Sporoul to

Accome pendet ? he hely of lely

Accome pendet ? he had be a

"the my opend for scholar

meded in my grantom

Pol occupy is Verne Studforma

Pol vicinely of Vexne Solvelmans mostry see longs Calyman was solvel

"An instruction oreventd"

#### THE CURRENT CLIMATE

But then following World War II.

Priorities of the Professoriate profoundly shifted.

For the first time in higher education history

- federal research funds dramatically increased thanks to Vannevar Bush who argued persuasively that
  - academic research that had helped win the war
  - could also win the peace.

At the same time, America was moving breathlessly from elite to mass higher education. - a PMMM Manhal Handal

 And a new generation of scholars fanned out all across the country, intent on replicating the research model they themselves experienced.

Higher education in this country

- · had multiple missions,
- · but a unitary model of assessment.

Ennals Recench become the primar Orateson of by which Foul achest valed be measured

all cuty my leng istitus

all cuty conty com cules within

Except com cules within

Sthup it and he muting Just to much possed he dominated the ready of Jose your 30 years Ds 1 do 0 1969 and 41 pout & she and in v. & snd This disput for a perme from a pulme of he is she has not pulme Em for Ruch langer Uninde 17 Wor Aut 70.7.

The irony was that

- at the very time the mission of higher learning was expanding,
- the priorities of the professoriate were being narrowed
  - and there was tension in the system
  - as most campuses were influenced primarily by the Hopkins model.

And met Copies me cought in the crossine of some quest To put it simply,

 following World War II American higher education was caught in the crossfire of two great traditions.

On the one hand there was the colonial college tradition with its emphasis

- on the student,
- on the general education,
- and on loyalty to the campus.

On the other hand, there was the European university tradition with its emphasis

- not on the <u>student</u>—
   put on the professoriate,
- not on general—
   but on specialized knowledge,
- not on loyalty to the <u>campus</u>—
  but on loyalty to the guild.

Dal on most courpose fueld a offrægje fr ye indulm

Dre me æ Calond Cally.

Re a Rucah Inf?

Back Blood of Both?

Frankly, most colleges have wanted it both ways.

They really are "collegial" when colleges are out recruiting students

- brochures are filled with Colonial College
  language like
  - "community" and "collegiate" and even
     "family."
  - And students are promised loving, tender care.

And even the pictures we present conjure up the imagery of a small New England college.

- The bird that caused Daniel Webster to recall with a lump in his throat,
- "She may be small, but there are those of us who love her."

Fullaces the produces in vimbers to Conque up intrody i appelm. But when students come to campus, especially the

freshmen and sophomores

· are disconnected from the culture.

That's deeply divided with faculty on the one side and students on the other.

It's only when students choose a major that the two cultures begin to come together.

> - In gratute school trends on ner close bloody of the true

- Med te doetate of

doestund incestund

ne incestund.

### FACULTY AND STUDENT TENSION

The irony is that no one seems politically comfortable with this arrangement.

In the Carnegie survey of faculty soul good mile of the control of

• And 71 percent say that we need better ways—beside publication—to measure faculty performance.

## And most disturbing

- many colleges in this country are attempting to be
  - more imitative,
  - then distinctive.

### THE ANSWER?

Well, what precisely are we to do about all of this?

Is it possible to somehow relieve the tension between the university and the Collegiate Model?

 And view the role of the professoriate in a way that expands the unitary model? Recently—at the Carnegie Foundation—we released a "little" monograph subtitled

Scholarship Reconsidered

And in this report we concluded that the time has come

- to move beyond the tired-old
- teaching vs. research debate

10

and begin to ask the much more compelling question

What does it mean to be a Scholar?

And in response to this intriguing question "What Does it Mean to be a Scholar?" We concluded that there are—in fact

- Four interlocking dimensions of Scholarship
- all of which should be recognized and rewarded.

27

### Scholarship of Discovery

### We say first that

- research is and must remain at the very heart of academic life.
- The pursuit of new knowledge must be vigorously cultivated and defended.

## And we celebrate in our report what we call

The Scholarship of Discovery.

### Fifty years ago,

- Vannevar Bush—former President of MIT put it this way:
  - "Universities—he said—are the well spring of knowledge
  - And as long as scholars are free to pursue truth wherever it may lead
  - There will surely continue to be a flow of new scientific knowledge."

Not every institution can fulfill the essential mission.

 Indeed, I worry about the imitatives who diminish resources and devalue the currency of the intellectual life.

Still we must have centers of distinction.

- And we strongly urge in our report that
  - federal research funds be expanded.
  - And we also urge that basic research stay within the academy itself.

£ 30

There can be no university without the

• Scholarship of Discovery.



## SCHOLARSHIP OF INTEGRATION

And this leads me to priority number two.

In the new Carnegie Report we say that

- in addition to the Scholarship of Discovery
   we also need what we call
  - the Scholarship of Integration.

John Henry Newman declared in his elegant essay on higher learning that

 the special mission of the university is to draw many things into one."

And I'm convinced that in our fragmented academic world we urgently need scholars

- who go beyond the isolated facts
- who make connections across the discipline
- and who can—by their collaborative investigation—help shape
  - a more coherent view of knowledge
  - and a more integrated, more authentic view of life.

The writings of

Stephen Jay Gould.

The essays of

Lewis Thomas.

And Stephen Hawking's brilliant little book on the history of time

- illustrate—at the richest level—
- the kind of integrative scholarship we have in mind.

# The Nobel Laureate, Barbara McClintock, wrote on one occasion:

- Everything is one.
- You can't draw a line between things.
- And yet, on most campuses we've broken up the disciplines into smaller and smaller units,
  - that are administratively and politically convenient,
  - but not necessarily intellectually revealing.

# Frank Press, the President of the National Academy of Sciences recently suggested that

the scientist is, in some respects, an artist, too.

#### Frank went on to observe that

- "the magnificent Double Helix—which broke the genetic code
- is not only rational," he said,
   "it's beautiful as well."



And several years ago when the world renowned physicist Victor Weiskopf was asked

- what gives you hope in troubled times
- he replied, "Mozart and quantum mechanics."



RDLANS-1.DOC, (ELB,SPC/dmo), February 18, 1992

لفعمر ز معناه معناه معناه معناه معناه معناه معناه

I'm suggesting that scholarship means

specialized research.

## But it also means moving beyond

- traditional, academic boundaries
- and putting our discourse in
  - historical,
  - social, and
  - ethical perspective.

Indeed, I find it fasculating the departmental boundaries are beginning to break down

 precisely because the old categories of knowledge don't fit the new intellectual questions.

And it seems to me that the most exciting work going on in the academy today is

- in the hyphenated disciplines,
- in psycho-linguistics,
- in bio-engineering and the like.
- In what Polanyi calls the
  - "overlapping academic neighborhoods."

And I find it especially revealing that in our national survey

- 93 percent of the faculty at research universities agreed that
- multidisciplinary work should be considered scholarship.

Several years ago, Professor Clifford Geertz, at the Institute for Advanced Study, wrote a provocative essay several years ago entitled

"Blurred Genes."

In this essay Geertz concluded that

 "something is happening today to the way we think about the way we think."

And he concludes that new disciplines are emerging

- in response to new intellectual questions
- that simply do not fit into the traditional academic boxes.

## Over fifty years ago, Mark Van Doren wrote that

 the connectedness of things is what the educator contemplates to the limit of his category.

## Van Doren concludes by saying that

- those who can begin early in life to see things as connected
- have begun the life of learning.

And this ability to look across the disciplines and discover relationships and patterns is—it seems to me—what good scholarship is all about.

#### THE SCHOLARSHIP OF APPLICATION

This brings me to category number three.

We say in the Carnegie Report that

- beyond the "scholarship of discovery"
- · and beyond the "scholarship of integration,"

we also need what we call the "scholarship of application" which means relating

- · the theory of research
- to the realities of life.



4- That's

# This idea of making knowledge "useful" is rooted

- in the land-grant colleges,
- in the polytechnic institutes,
- in the normal schools,
- · in the conservatories.

Institutions that were—in the nineteenth century—in the nation's service.

42

In recent years, the idea of "service" has fallen into disfavor because no sharp distinction has drawn

- between "doing" scholarship
- and "doing good."

And the term "service" has like "silly putty" been shaped to mean

almost anything at all.

In the Carnegie Report we say that for service

45

- to be considered <u>scholarly</u>,
  - it must be tied directly to one's <u>special</u> knowledge.
  - And <u>flow directly</u> out of the theory of the field.

(Q)

# Donald Schön of MIT writes convincingly about

- what he calls "the reflective practitioner."
- Which means moving
  - not just from theory of practice
  - but from practice back to theory.

And I'm convinced that there is a growing need for the scholarship of application.

Today our shorelines are polluted,

- our ozone layer may be threatened,
- our cities are imperiled.

And I that today's colleges and universities

- will increasingly be expected to respond to the crisis of this century,
  - just as they responded to the needs of agriculture and industry a century ago.

And speaking of the scholarship of application, I'd like to urge especially that colleges give more support to precollegiate education.

The harsh truth is that today the nation's schools are hopelessly overburdened.

- They're being asked to do what the families
- and churches
- and communities

have not been able to accomplish.

And I'm convinced that if we don't help save the nation's schools

universities will inevitably decline.

I'm suggesting that—in the end—"theory" simply cannot be divorced from practice, and that in developing new priorities for the professoriate

- we simply must give new dignity and new status
- to what we call the scholarship of application.

#### Oscar Handlin wrote on one occasion that we

- "Can no longer afford the luxury of pursuits confined to an ivory tower.
- Scholarship"—he said—"has to prove its worth not only on its own terms,
- but by service to the nation and the world.

### THE SCHOLARSHIP OF TEACHING

This brings me to category number four.

We say in the Carnegie Report that scholarship means

- not only the ability to discover and to integrate and to apply knowledge.
  - It also means inspiring future scholars in the classroom,
  - a process we call the <u>scholarship of teaching</u>.

Several years ago, I could not sleep, and instead of counting sheep I tried to recall all the teachers I had.

 I must confess there were a few nightmares in the bunch.

But I also remembered three or four outstanding teachers

- who not only knew their subjects,
- but knew their students, too.

50

These wonderful mentors had a huge impact on my life.

 And I suspect that almost everyone in the audience today is here because of at least one inspired teacher.

And yet what's disturbing is that this essential dimension of scholarship is often undervalued

- and it's disquieting that a professor may get more credit delivering a paper at a conference at the Hyatt in Chicago,
- then he can in teaching undergraduates back home.

It's easy to get sentimental about teaching.

But my larger point is that if we hope to keep scholarship alive we need classrooms

- where there is active, not passive learning,
- where students are creative, not conforming,
- and where undergraduates learn to work together rather than compete.

Since—in the coming century—the truly consequential human problems will be resolved—only through collaboration.

I'm suggesting that

- the undergraduate experience is the foundation on which a great research center
  - must be built.
- And teach at the level also must be a priority on the campus.

In small a call or hits

thank to my which

## Robert Oppenheimer,

 at the 200th anniversary of Columbia University put it this way:

#### He said that

 it's the proper role of the scientist that he not merely find a new truth
 and communicate it to his fellows.

But it's also the role of the scientist-Oppenheimer said-

- that he teach,
- and he try to bring the most honest and most intelligent account of new knowledge to all who will try to learn.

And surely this means inspiring future scholars in the classroom.

54

## CONCLUSION

# Here, then, is a summary of our report.

In the new Carnegie Report we insist that scholarship surely means

- the discovery of knowledge
  - as in research.

# But we say that scholarship also means

- the integration of knowledge
- to avoid pedantry.

#### It means

- the application of knowledge
- to avoid irrelevance.

# And finally it means

- the transmission of knowledge
- in order to sustain the continuity of scholarship across the generations.

It's my own view that this full range of scholarship can flourish on a single campus

 but I'm also convinced that colleges and universities need to find their own special "niche" within the spectrum.

- Let's have undergraduate campuses where the
  - scholarship of teaching is a central mission.
- Let's also have comprehensive colleges and universities that promote integrative studies
  - through a core curriculum,
  - through interdisciplinary seminars,
  - and through team teaching.

And let's have colleges and universities that give top priority to the scholarship of applying knowledge.

- In schools,
- in hospitals,
- in industry and business,

-much as the land grant colleges worked with farmers.

### RESEARCH UNIVERSITY

And yet—in the midst of all of this—it seems to me that the research university has the most challenging task of all

- · It is after all "the flagship" that sets the
- standard of excellence for all others.

/

# In the Carnegie Report we say that

- research and publication surely must remain a key criterion
- by which the performance of faculty will be assessed.

# But we also recognize that

- at the great research centers there are
  - institutes and multidisciplinary programs
  - that focus on the scholarship of integration.

# Research universities—especially those in the Land Grant tradition—

- also are involved in the scholarship of application
  - in medical clinics,
  - law school,
  - agriculture,
  - education,
  - in business
  - and in theatre and the arts,
    - · which blend action and reflection.

And, of course, research universities enroll tens of thousands of undergraduates every year

- which necessarily involves them in the scholarship of teaching.
- And those who are assigned to teach
  - surely should be rewarded for their work.

To expect a single institution to achieve excellence in the full range of scholarship

- · is ambitious,
- · if not audacious.

And yet I suspect that it's only at the great university centers

- where scholarship,
  - · in its richest, fullest sense,
- can come together.

#### BENEDICTION

We have, here, in the United States more than 3,000 colleges and universities.

What we've really tried to say in the Carnegie Report is that

- we need higher learning institutions that are
  - not imitative,
  - but distinctive.

## And we simply urge that every college and university

- seek to define its own unique mission
- and seek to develop its own system of faculty rewards
- to match those special purposes and goals
- and that is of course precisely why we've gathered here today.

16

## Federal research funds

for the first time dramatically increased

## And at the very time

- The mission of American Higher Education was expanding
- The reward system of the professoriate was being narrowed.